

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,384	12/08/2005	Samuel Gallion	5310-08200	5169
35690 MEVERTONS	7590 10/18/2007 S HOOD KIVI IN KOW	/ERT & GOETZEL, P.C.	EXAM	IINER
P.O. BOX 398		The Continue, 1.0.		, MARCUS
AUSTIN, TX	78767-0398		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3682	
			<u></u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/520,384	GALLION ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marcus Charles	3682	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the provision of the pr	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	mailing date of this communication, even i	umely filed, may reduce any	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on (section is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice under the condition is the practice under the condition is in condition.	This action is non-final. owance except for formal materials		ts is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examond The drawing(s) filed on 08 December 2005 Applicant may not request that any objected to by the Claim (s) The oath or declaration is objected to be objected to be objected to by the claim (s) The oath or declaration is objected to be objected to be objec	ndrawn from consideration. and/or election requirement. miner. is/are: a) accepted or b) the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in a priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	e
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date See Continuation Sheet.	.8) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :03-09-2005, 03-16-2005, 04-21-2005 & 07-05-2006.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,384

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

This is the first action relating to serial application number 10/520,384 filed 12/08/2005. Claims 1-16 are currently pending.

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, "the encoder outside the space between the tracks" as in claims 12 and "An electric motor including a stator and a rotor as in claim 15, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement-drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must

Art Unit: 3682

be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

Abstract

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the terms and phrases such as "may" and "maybe" do not enable the abstract to be provided a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent. These terms or phrases do not provide a positive statement of the invention. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the disclosure fails to clearly explain the claim limitation set forth in paragraph 8 (see U.S.C. 112, first paragraph below. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,384 Page 4

Art Unit: 3682

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 2-4, it is not clear if the reception microcoils and the transmission coils are the same as the microcoils of claim 1. It appears that the microcoils of claim 1 comprise reception microcoils and the transmission coils. Claim 5, refers to at least one transmission coil and at lease one receptive coil. Therefore, it is not clear if the transmission and receptive coils are the same as the microcoils of claim 1. If they are the same then there includes a double inclusion. In addition, lines 6-7, refers to a transmission coil, a receptive coil and a date processing circuit. Therefore, there appears to be a double inclusion between lines 6-7 and lines 3-5.

In claim 4, as understood, note the transmission coil (9).

In claim 6, it appears that the microcoils are the same as that of claim 1.

There appears to be a double inclusion. Note, the at least one microcoils of claim 1 comprises a plurality of microcoils of claim 6.

In claims 10-12, it is not clear as to the difference between the rotating track and the rotational portion.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/520,384

Art Unit: 3682

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Page 5

7. Claims 12 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification fails to clearly explain or describes how the encoder is positioned outside the space between the tracks set forth in claim 12, and the microcoil is position on a support of a circuit coupled to a sensor unit integrated with the stator set forth in claim 15. In addition, the specification fails to clearly explain or describe how the teeth of the encoder of claim 16 are coupled to a rotating groove of the bearing. It would not have been possible to one of ordinary skill to understand or make the invention without proper description.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1, 4, 11 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hofmann et al. (5,898,388). Hofmann et al. discloses an

Application/Control Number: 10/520,384

Art Unit: 3682

instrumented antifriction bearing device comprising a rotation portion (1); a non-rotating portion (2) and an assembly configured to detect rotation parameters, and comprising an encoder (4); a sensor (7) integrated with the non-rotating portion, wherein the sensor comprises a sensor unit; at least one microcoil (9) which is substantially flat winding is positioned on a support of a circuit coupled to the sensor unit of the non-rotating portion, and wherein the microcoil is configured to be position axially opposite the encoder (4).

In claim 4, Hofmann et al. discloses the transmission coil (9) placed in the sensor unit.

In claim 11, see the encoder (4) between the races 1 and 2).

Claims 13-14, it is apparent that the sensor unit is annular and is less that 360 degrees see fig. 6, item 6).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 2-3, 6 and 10 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 11. Claims 5 and 7-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Citation

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note the prior art cited in attached PTO Form 892.

Art Unit: 3682

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcus Charles whose telephone number is (571) 272-7101. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ridley Richard can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Marcus Charles
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3682
October 12, 2007